Abstract- In this paper, we propose a Future View system that assists user’s usual Web browsing. A Future View will prefetch Web pages based on user’s browsing strategies and present them to a user in order to assist Web browsing. To learn browsing patterns for a user, Future View uses two types of learning classifier systems: a content-based classifier system for contents change patterns and an action-based classifier system for user’s action patterns. The results of learning are applied to crawling by Web robot, and gathered Web pages are presented to a user through a Web browser. We experimentally show the effectiveness of navigation using a Future View.

1 Introduction

The World Wide Web is available to gather interesting information for a user. There are Web pages over almost all fields. However, finding objective Web pages is very hard for a user because of the width of the Web, therefore empirical browsing strategies are very important for user’s efficient browsing. Search engines are often used as an available tool for the purpose of information gathering. However, the results returned from search engines may include useless web pages for a user. Especially, if user’s objective Web pages is not indexed in the search engine’s database, a user have to do browsing start with the results of search engines. The Web is also available for user’s browsing tasks that a user crawl according to his/her interests. Users may crawl to discover interesting Web pages without specific goal. User’s browsing strategies are also important in such a case. For example, a user may often start browsing with Web pages including links for various topics such as Directory Services. A user may also go the round of “What’s new” Web pages which is updated frequently or some digest news pages.

Many techniques to assist users on their browsing tasks have been developed. For example, there are many methods of gathering relative Web pages about some keywords[1, 2], and recommending next links or relative Web pages for a user from the current Web page[3, 4, 5]. Information of Web page contents is mainly used in those techniques. However, they are not enough for crawlers to narrow their search spaces. They do not consider strategic search patterns. For example, any hyperlinks followed by a user recently are not accessed soon. Moreover, though those techniques have an effect on assisting user’s browsing tasks for searching with a specific goal, it is difficult that they assist the user’s browsing tasks changing user’s interest in the short term. There are also some techniques to assist users on their browsing tasks by learning accessed Web pages sequences[6, 7, 8]. However they assist a user in a closed space on a same Web site because they use logs on a Web server. They do not assist users on user’s usual browsing tasks in which their interest frequently changes and they visit various Web sites.

It is difficult to assist user’s Web browsing in a open space because of a wide search space. It is also difficult to learning user’s browsing patterns. Because a user visit different Web sites and select Web pages of various topics. Each accessed Web pages’ sequences are dissimilar as input data for a learning component. Therefore, no useful techniques have been developed for Web navigation to assist user’s usual browsing. However, we can make the search space sufficiently narrow, if we consider not only similarity of Web pages but also user’s strategic search patterns.

In this paper, we propose a Future View system assists user’s browsing tasks. A Future View learns user’s browsing patterns, and prefetches Web pages according to user’s browsing strategies by applying learned browsing patterns for crawling Web pages by Web robot. Web robot will identify Web pages that will be reached by a user in the near future, and the Web pages are presented for a user through a Web browser in order to assist user’s browsing tasks. A Future View uses a content-based learning technique to learn user’s browsing pattern. Moreover, a Future View uses a learning technique based on user’s actions in order to take precedence possible Web pages accessed by a user in the future. These two types of learning are developed with evolutionary learning method, e.g. classifier systems. Gathered Web pages are ranked according to the possibility of access by a user and listed in a user interface. If the results correspond to user’s interest and include objective Web pages,
he/she can access to the Web pages directly through a user interface. On the other hand, if the results do not correspond to interest of a user, he/she must change his/her browsing strategy.

2 Web Navigation by a Future View

When a user browses on an open Web space, there are a lot of accessible next Web pages for a user because a user may follow a link or select his/her bookmarks or visit to a search engine page. Therefore the number of Web pages which can be reached by a user increase explosively according to steps of selecting Web pages. In a Future View, user’s browsing patterns are learned using learning components based on Classifier Systems(CS)[9] to narrow a wide search space. Figure 1 shows some search spaces. We consider a set of reachable Web pages by a user as a whole search space($S_1$ in Fig.1). It is narrowed by applying results of learning browsing patterns with a classifier system based on contents of Web pages (Content-based Classifier System:CCS). The space is showed in the section 4.1.3($S_2$ in Fig.1). Moreover, a Future View narrows the search space by applying results of learning browsing patterns with a classifier system based on user’s actions (Action-based Classifier System:ACS). The space showed in the section 4.2.2($S_3$ in Fig.1), and it is a final search space for a Future View to gather Web pages preferentially.

3 Future View Architecture

Figure 2 shows an overview of a Future View. A Future View consists of two main components. The first component Learner is a learning component based on classifier systems(CS)[9]. It receives information about accessed Web pages from a Web browser to learn user’s browsing patterns. To obtain the accessed URL, user’s actions (i.e. click a link, input a URL directly and click the “back” button) and another information, we use an altered Web browser “Mozilla” provided as open source. The Learner is constructed with two types of classifier systems: a Content-based CS(CCS) and an Action-based CS(ACS). Figure 3 shows an internal architecture of a Learner. A CCS learns user’s browsing patterns based on contents of accessed Web pages. On the other hand, a ACS learns user’s browsing patterns based on user’s actions. Accessed Web page information is transformed to a value whether it is included in a “Page Class”. A Page Class is abstract representation of Web pages set based on various features of its. A condition part and an action part of classifier consist of values for Page Classes. We call page classes for a CCS “Content-based Page Class(CPC)” and page classes for an ACS “Action-based Page Class(APC)”. We show detail of its in the section 4.1.2 and 4.2.1. The second component is a Prefetcher. Our crawler prefetches Web pages referring the results of learning classifiers. Its starting point of crawling is the current Web page. A crawler stores the searching states at the point in time with information of Web pages. And in order

Figure 1: Search Space for Future View

To assist user’s Web browsing, a Future View presents gathered Web pages to a user. Gathered Web pages are ranked according to the probability of accessing descend and presents it to a user in order to reduce reading cost. We think that if the order is decided with accumulation of each transition possibility that a user reach each Web page from the current Web page, Web pages that can be reached with a few steps may be presented on a position of a higher rank. However, a user may be able to predict some Web pages in this case. And the contents of such Web pages may be similar, consequently it may not become interesting for a user. Thus, a Future View presents Web pages on a higher rank as more possibility of a transition with the last one step instead of possibility of each transitions from the current Web pages. We called the Web pages Future Pages. A Future View learn what the incentives are as patterns. Thereby, a Future View can grasp a set of Web pages that will be reached by a user and compose an interface to access directly for interesting Web pages.
to prefetch more depth Web pages, prefetched Web pages are considered as a part of browsing history. Gathered Web pages are always displayed as Future pages in the Navigation Frame with a function of a Web browser “Sidebar”, while browsing.

4 Learning User’s Browsing Patterns

4.1 Learning Browsing Patterns based on Web Page Contents

4.1.1 Browsing Session

User’s interests may be changed under the influence of various knowledge acquired during his/her browsing tasks. For example, it occurs at the case that a user has obtained objective knowledge from accessed Web pages, or the case that a user doesn’t reach objective Web pages at all, or the case that a user is unexpectedly interested in glimpsed texts on the other topics. Users surf on various topics to gather relative information, and all accessed sequences are recorded in a repository. Therefore user’s browsing history consists of some browsing sessions. The “browsing session” means a browsing sequence on a single topic, whether a user access to related Web pages from many links pages or using search engines. It is worthy of notice that the relevance between accessed topics before changing user’s interests and after is little. Especially, in a content-based learning, it may influence on effectiveness of learning.

Therefore we notice an end of a session of Web browsing for learning browsing patterns with a CCS. For detecting sessions, we consider all events that occurred over 25.5 minutes apart to be a new session[10]. We also allow a user to explicitly indicate the end of a session by clicking the “New Session” button. Figure 4 shows browsing sessions for a Future View.

4.1.2 Design of CPCs

In this section, we show the way of designing CPCs. When a user browses on a topic, the topic can be characterized with appeared words in Web pages on the topic. We can define CPCs based on some viewpoints below using Browsing Session in order to distinguish the type of words aimed by a user.

- **topic continuity**

  - Web pages which include top n words of high TFIDF values in the title or body or anchor text.
  
  * The TFIDF[11] values here for all words in the current document are calculated with the other documents accessed before the current session(D_a in Fig.5).

  - Web pages accessed by following links of which anchor text include keywords input for search engines in the current session.

- **content difference on a topic**

  - Web pages which include more words with high TFIDF value and not included the other Web pages in the same session.
  
  * The TFIDF values here for each word in the current session are calculated with only documents in the current session(D_b in Fig.5).

  - Web pages which the very similar Web pages didn’t appear in the current session.

4.1.3 Learning with a CCS

Each classifier consists of CPCs for a CCS are represented as below.

\[
\langle \text{classifier} \rangle = \langle \text{condition-part} \rangle : \langle \text{action-part} \rangle
\]

\[
\langle \text{condition-part} \rangle = C_1^C, \ldots, C_n^C = \{1, 0, \#\}^n
\]

\[
\langle \text{action-part} \rangle = A^C = \{P_1^C, \ldots, P_n^C\}
\]

A \(C_i^C\) means a i-th component of a condition-part. When a CPC corresponding to a i-th component is represented as a
\[ P_i^C \] and the current Web page is represented as \( p_{curr} \), each component \( C_i^C \) means the following.

- If \( C_i^C = 1 \), then a \( p_{curr} \) is included in a page class \( P_i^C \).
- If \( C_i^C = 0 \), then a \( p_{curr} \) is not included in a page class \( P_i^C \).
- If \( C_i^C = \# \), then whether a \( p_{curr} \) is included in a page class \( P_i^C \) or not.

Here, \( A^C \) means a CPC which the next Web page \( p_{next} \) will be included in. Whenever a user visits a new Web page, a set of values for matching is constructed with a message (browsing history) (Fig.5) and it is compared with a condition part of a classifier for matching.

![Figure 5: Matching values for CCS](image)

A CCS performs updating strength of each classifier using the Bucket-Brigade algorithm in the reinforcement component and GA in the discovery component like standard classifier systems.

### 4.2 Learning Browsing Patterns based on User’s Actions

#### 4.2.1 Design of APCs

In this section, we show the way of designing APCs. An APC represents a set of Web pages based on user’s actions used in an ACS, and the classifiers consists of the APCs represent a user’s characteristic browsing pattern. We provide a guideline for defining APCs and samples of APCs below.

- **interests to news**
  - Web pages accessed by following new added links.

- **strategic search**
  - Search engine’s top page accessed by directly inputting the URL to a browser.
  - Web pages which accessed by following a link near by the previous followed link and were not followed today yet.

### 4.2.2 Learning with an ACS

A Future View uses an ACS to learn user’s browsing patterns based on user’s actions. Each classifier consist of APCs for a ACS are represented as below.

\[
\langle \text{classifier} \rangle = \langle \text{condition-part} \rangle : \langle \text{action-part} \rangle
\]

\[
\langle \text{condition-part} \rangle = C_1^A, \ldots, C_n^A = \{ P_1^A, \ldots, P_m^A, \# \}^n
\]

\[
\langle \text{action-part} \rangle = A^A = \{ P_1^A, \ldots, P_m^A \}
\]

Here, \( i \) means the order of access to a Web page, and \( C_i^A \) means a APC in which the \( i \)-th Web page should be included. The current Web page is included in an APC of \( C_i^A \), and a Web page accessed at the previous step is included in an APC of \( C_{i-1}^A \). When the current Web page is represented as \( p_{curr} \), each component \( C_i^A \) means the following.

- If \( C_i^A = P_j^A \), then a \( p_{curr} \) is included in a page class \( P_j^A \).
- If \( C_i^A = \# \), then whether a \( p_{curr} \) is included in any page class or not.

Here, \( A^A \) means a APC which the next Web page \( p_{next} \) will be included in. Whenever a user visits a new Web page, a set of values for matching is constructed with a message (browsing history) (Fig.6) and it is compared with a condition part of a classifier for matching.

![Figure 6: Matching values for ACS](image)

A Future View performs partial matching sequence instead of exact matching.

- **Partial Matching**[12]
  - Using page classes and instances for classifiers
  - A Future View uses not only page classes but also URLs of Web pages as instances. If components in condition-part of classifiers are instances, when URLs of instances correspond to URLs of a message, the classifier will be matched. A classifier including more instances are given priority to be fired.
• Cover (detector) operator[13]

In a discovery component of an ACS, new classifiers are created a matching classifiers out of the current message when there is no classifiers match the current message.

5 Prefetching Future Pages

A prefetcher gathers Web pages as Future Pages start with the user’s current Web page applying learned browsing patterns, and stores them to a repository. A Future View gather Future Pages parallel with learning browsing patterns. A prefetcher search Future Pages with a similar standard best-first search algorithm with a evaluation function using values of the last applied classifier’s strength. The table 1 shows a procedure of gathering Future Pages.

6 User Interface

Future Pages prefetched by a prefetcher are presented to a user through a browser. Fig.7 show a user interface. A left upper frame display URLs as a browsing history and the “New Session” button to split browsing sessions. A left lower frame indicates a list of Future Pages with a title, a URL string, a thumbnail image of the Web page, a button to display a trail from the current Web page and a part of contents of the Web page. A user can access the Web page by clicking a URL link.

7 Experiments

In order to investigate effect of a Future View, we evaluate the results of using a Future view by 8 users. They are not researchers, and don’t have knowledge of evolutionary computation and any other areas. After they used a Future View for three days, they evaluated many Future Pages. We show Future Pages which are presented by a Future View and the results of evaluating qualities of presented Future Pages by a user.

We use the settings showed in Table.2 for CCS and ACS.

7.1 Example of Future Pages

We show a few typical learned patterns and presented Future Pages.

• “come and go” pattern

Each user have used a search engine sometimes. After they have inputed query keywords for a search engine, they have come and gone the list page including the results of search query and the next pages followed any links.

Now a user visited Web pages \( P_1, P_2, P_3 \) in Fig. 8, and now reading the Web page \( P_3 \). Then Web robot

1. Initializing: Let \( p_0 \) is a current Web page, \( s_0 \) is a browsing sequence to the current Web page, the initial value for the current trail \( g_0 = 0 \), the estimation value \( h_0 = \theta_{\text{strength}} + \alpha \) and initialize a search queue list as \( L = [(p_0, s_0, g_0, h_0)] \).

2. Selecting the best page: Pick up an element with the highest heuristic value \( f_i = g_i + h_i \) in a search queue list \( L \), and delete it from a \( L \). We represent the picked up element \((p_i, s_i, g_i, h_i)\). And the element into an opened list \( OL \).

3. Detecting a set of applicable classifiers: For CCS and ACS, a message from a browsing sequence \( s_i \) match classifiers, and a match set is made. A prefetcher detects all classifiers from each match set as a set of applicable classifiers which have a strength value more than threshold \( \theta_{\text{strength}} \) and are applicable to the current state.

4. Prefetching Web pages: A prefetcher obtains all Web pages as the results of applying each action of applicable classifiers. Each element \( c = (p_{i+1}, s_{i+1}, g_{i+1}, h_{i+1}) \) is made for an obtained Web page. Here, \( p_{i+1} \) is a obtained Web page, \( g_{i+1} = f_i = g_i + h_i \) is a value for each trail, \( h_{i+1} \) is the larger of the strength values of last applied CPC and APC, and a \( s_{i+1} \) is a new browsing sequence that a page \( p_{i+1} \) is added into a previous browsing sequence \( s_i \). All elements are added into a search queue list \( L \).

5. The termination condition: If a search queue become empty (\( L = [] \)) or the number of opened elements in \( OL \) reach the max search numbers, this procedure is terminated, otherwise return to 2.

Table 1: Procedure of gathering Future Pages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Initializing: Let ( p_0 ) is a current Web page, ( s_0 ) is a browsing sequence to the current Web page, the initial value for the current trail ( g_0 = 0 ), the estimation value ( h_0 = \theta_{\text{strength}} + \alpha ) and initialize a search queue list as ( L = [(p_0, s_0, g_0, h_0)] ).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Selecting the best page: Pick up an element with the highest heuristic value ( f_i = g_i + h_i ) in a search queue list ( L ), and delete it from a ( L ). We represent the picked up element ((p_i, s_i, g_i, h_i)). And the element into an opened list ( OL ).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Detecting a set of applicable classifiers: For CCS and ACS, a message from a browsing sequence ( s_i ) match classifiers, and a match set is made. A prefetcher detects all classifiers from each match set as a set of applicable classifiers which have a strength value more than threshold ( \theta_{\text{strength}} ) and are applicable to the current state.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Prefetching Web pages: A prefetcher obtains all Web pages as the results of applying each action of applicable classifiers. Each element ( c = (p_{i+1}, s_{i+1}, g_{i+1}, h_{i+1}) ) is made for an obtained Web page. Here, ( p_{i+1} ) is a obtained Web page, ( g_{i+1} = f_i = g_i + h_i ) is a value for each trail, ( h_{i+1} ) is the larger of the strength values of last applied CPC and APC, and a ( s_{i+1} ) is a new browsing sequence that a page ( p_{i+1} ) is added into a previous browsing sequence ( s_i ). All elements are added into a search queue list ( L ).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>The termination condition: If a search queue become empty (( L = [] )) or the number of opened elements in ( OL ) reach the max search numbers, this procedure is terminated, otherwise return to 2.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

visited Web pages \( P_2, P_4, P_6, P_5, P_2 \), and Web Pages \( P_4, P_5 \) are presented as Future Pages.

In this pattern, CCS tended to have brought the good result. For example, Web pages \( P_4, P_5 \) actually related to search keywords. Some advertisement links were excluded.

• “daily fixed” pattern

A user usually browsing start with his bookmarks page as a hub page. The page include some old links, and he don’t select the links recently. If he visit his bookmarks page at first of the day, Web robot follows valid links excepting old links.

In this pattern, ACS tended to have brought the good
Figure 7: User Interface

Figure 8: “come and go” pattern

result. Because fixed urls of Web pages and the orders of visiting have been learned by ACS.

We also show an interesting pattern. A user has performed the following browsing occasionally.

- He has used a search engine sometimes.
- After he has inputed words to a search engine, he has selected some results from the search engine one by one from the top. (So far, he has perform browsing same as “come and go” pattern.)
- After he has selected some results from the search engine, he has has accessed to a News site which have been often used.
settings of CCS and ACS
Population size of a set of classifiers: 500
Length of a message: 10
The number of CPCs: 10
The number of APCs: 12
Frequency of running GA: 1 time per 30 step
Selection algorithm: Elite
Modifying strengths of classifiers: Backet Brigade
Initial strength values: 1.0
Limited maximum strength values: 4.0
Max. depth of searching(prefetching): 7
Max. number of gathering distinct Future Pages: 10

Table 2: Settings for CCS and ACS

- He has selected some new articles.

A user visited a Web page about “Linux OS”, and he broke off the current session by pushing “New Session” button, and he accessed to the top page of search engine “google”. Now he have just selected some results from search engine and back to the results pages of search engine(P2 in Fig. 8 and Fig.7). Figure 9 shows the accessed list of him. Then, Web robot visited Web pages P3, P2, Pk, Pj, Pk(Fig. 10).

Figure 9: Example of interesting pattern

7.2 Qualities of Future Pages
We investigated qualities of Future Pages in order to confirm effectiveness compared with the other systems; there are not appropriately comparable systems considered the open search space, however. Therefore we compare Future Pages with Web pages searched by using “random walk”. And we also investigate the difference of effects between an ACS and a CCS.

In order to investigate the different effects between an ACS,a CCS and “random walk”, 8 subjects evaluated up to 10 Future Pages(if there is a few Web pages for presenting the user, the number of Future Pages may less than 10 pages) for 5 current Web pages at each case that a prefetcher is applied the results of learning of “ACS and CCS”, “only ACS”, “only CCS” and “random walk” for the comparison(150 or less Future Pages for a subject in total). Here, “random walk” is that Web robot select a link from all of links in followed Web pages at random. In addition, “random walk” exclude some useless links for pretreatment.

Each Future Pages is evaluated with 3—1 points: 3 for interesting Web pages, 1 for indifferent Web pages and 2 for intermediate value. The Table3 shows the average values of the total values of evaluations for 8 subjects. We also compare the results for two types of user’s browsing, with the specific goal(searching) or without the specific goal(browsing).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>browsing</th>
<th>searching</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCS and ACS</td>
<td>0.99 (6.2)</td>
<td>1.0 (7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCS only</td>
<td>0.27 (3.3)</td>
<td>0.39 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACS only</td>
<td>0.58 (5.6)</td>
<td>0.56 (6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>random walk</td>
<td>0.54 (5.2)</td>
<td>0.51 (6.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(m(n): m\) is the average values of the total values of evaluations for 8 subjects, and it is normalized by the value for \(e_{acs}\) for browsing. \(n\) is the average value of the number of presented pages.

We compare the evaluation value with gathering Future Pages with both CCS and ACS, only CCS, only ACS and “random walk” for browsing and searching.

Table 3: Evaluation of Future Pages

In the results, CCS tended to have brought the high evaluation value for searching than for browsing. On the other hand, ACS tended to have brought the high evaluation value for browsing than for searching. Moreover, when we...
have used both of CCS and ACS for a Future View, it has brought more high evaluation values for both browsing and searching than using only CCS, only ACS or performing “random walk”. If its attention is paid to the number of presented pages, it for “CCS and ACS” is larger than for “CCS only”, “ACS only” or “random walk”. It is based on the combination of “CCS” and “ACS”. It means that a Future View prefetch and present more interesting Future Pages for a user with CCS and ACS than the other cases. The reason the numbers of presented pages for “CCS only” and “ACS only” are small because a Web robot have not applied classifiers with a low strength than a threshold value.

The results of this experiments shows that a CCS and an ACS can work suitably for some kind of user’s browsing. We confirmed that a Future View seemed to all users to working effectually for all browsing, and it worked seamlessly even when he/she change his/her browsing strategies according to the situation.

8 Conclusions

We proposed a Future View system that assists user’s Web browsing on the Web as an open space. We also show a concept of “Future Pages” gathered with user’s browsing patterns. A Future View gathers relative Web pages to the current Web pages or keywords. A CCS and an ACS are components for learning user’s browsing patterns. We provide policies for designing them. We also investigated learned browsing patterns and its results, and verified the effectiveness of presented Future Pages by a Future View.
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